

- Iacobucci, D., and Churchill, G.A., 2018. *Marketing Research: Methodological Foundation*, twelfth ed. The Dryden Press, New York.
- Jouda, H., Abu Jarad, A., Obaid, T., Abu Mdallalah, S., and Awaja, A. (2020). Mobile Banking Adoption: Decomposed Theory of Planned Behavior with Perceived Trust. *The 1st International Conference on Information Technology & Business ICITB2020*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3660403>
- Lin, C. C., Yang, Z., and Chang, C. H. (2022). Facilitating adoption of virtual communities through emotional connection in the global logistics industry. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 1-19.
- Lin, C.C. and Chang, C.H. (2021). Evaluating employee's perception toward the promotion of safety marketing at ports. *International Journal of Shipping and Transport Logistics*, 13(3/4), 275-299.
- Lin, H.F. (2007). Predicting consumer intentions to shop online: An empirical test of competing theories, *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 6(4), 433-442.
- Nguyen, N., Nguyen, M.T., Nguyen, T.T.C., and Nguyen, M.H. (2024). Customer Switching Intention from Home Delivery to Smart Locker Delivery: Evidence from Vietnam, *Innovative Marketing*, 20(2). DOI10.21511/im.20(2).2024.12
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B. and Podsakoff, N.P. (2003) 'Common method biases in behaviour research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies', *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 88, No. 5, pp.879–903.
- Quân, N.H., Nguyen, B., and Bui, L. (2022). Impact of smart locker use on customer satisfaction of online shoppers in Vietnam. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. 9. 10.1057/s41599-022-01428-6.
- Refaningati, T., Nahry., Tangkudung, S.W., and Kusuma, A. (2020). Analysis of Characteristics and Efficiency of Smart Locker System (Case Study: Jabodetabek). 7(1):111-117. doi: 10.5109/2740966
- Rogers, E. M. (1983). *Diffusion of Innovation*, 3th ed. New York: The Free Press of Glencoe.
- Sadaf, A., and Gezer, T. (2020). Exploring factors that influence teachers' intentions to integrate digital literacy using the decomposed theory of planned behavior. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 36(2), 124–145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2020.1719244>
- Shiue, Y.M., (2007). Investigating The Sources of Teachers' Instructional Technology Use Through the Decomposed Theory of Planned Behavior, *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 36(4), 425-453.
- Smarkola, C. (2008). Efficacy of a planned behavior model: Beliefs that contribute to computer usage intentions of student teachers and experienced teachers, *Computers in Human Behavior*, 24(3), 1196-1215.
- Tang, Y.M., Chau, K.Y., Xu, D., and Liu, X.Y. (2021). "Consumer perceptions to support IoT based smart parcel locker logistics in China", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 62.
- Tasi, Y.T. and Tiwasing, P. (2021). Customers' intention to adopt smart lockers in last-mile delivery service: A multi-theory perspective, *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Service*, 61. DOI: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102514
- Taylor, S., and Todd, P. A. (1995a). Decomposition and Crossover Effects in the Theory of Planned Behavior: A Study of Consumer Adoption Intentions, *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 12 (2), 137-155.
- Taylor, S., and Todd, P. A. (1995b). Understanding Information Technology Usage: A Test of Competing Models. *Information Systems Research*, 6(2), 144–176. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23011007>

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2019). World Urbanization Prospects 2018: Highlights. <https://population.un.org/wup/publications/Files/WUP2018-Report.pdf>

Xia, L., Xu, Y., Zhang, Y., Jiang, H., and Cui, B. (2024). Impact of airline social media marketing on purchase intention: Evidence from China using PLS-SEM. *Transport Economics and Management*, 2, 249-262.

Yin, J.X., Huo, X.Q., Cao, X.L., Li, R.N., Zhou, Y.X., Jiang, T., Wang, L.F., Wu, Z.Y., and Wang, Z.L. (2023). Intelligent Electronic Password Locker Based on the Mechanoluminescence Effect for Smart Home. *ACS MATERIALS LETTERS*, 5.

Yuen, K.F., Wang, X.Q., Ma, F., and Wong, Y.D. (2019). The determinants of customers' intention to use smart lockers for last-mile deliveries, *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Service*, 49, 316-326. DOI: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.03.022

SAFETY LOGISTICS: A CASE STUDY IN THE RISK OF WORK-RELATED MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS IN MANUAL LOGISTICS TASK IN THAILAND

Charoenchai Nivit

Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Chiang Mai University, THAILAND

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This research addresses the critical yet often overlooked aspect of occupational safety within the logistics industry, specifically focusing on safety logistics. While logistics management typically prioritizes cost reduction and operational efficiency, the significant financial and human costs associated with work-related injuries and illnesses are substantial. This study aims to identify and assess ergonomic risks among gas cylinder delivery workers in Thailand to ultimately enhance worker safety and well-being.

Design/methodology/approach: This study employed an observational design to investigate the work behaviors of gas cylinder delivery workers in Thailand. The research focused on tasks involving the manual handling of 15 kg, 30 kg, and 45 kg gas cylinders during loading onto vehicles, offloading, and delivery to customer homes. To evaluate the risk of work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs), the Rapid Upper Limb Assessment (RULA) tool was systematically applied to observe and analyze the working postures of these employees.

Findings: The RULA assessment effectively identified various postures presenting risks for WMSDs among the gas cylinder delivery workers. The findings indicate specific high-risk movements and body positions frequently adopted during the manual handling tasks. These identified risks highlight the potential for injuries if no intervention measures are taken.

Research limitations/implications: This study's findings are specific to gas cylinder delivery workers in Thailand, utilizing a single ergonomic assessment tool. Future research could benefit from a larger sample size, longitudinal studies to track injury rates, and the incorporation of additional ergonomic assessment tools or physiological measurements to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the risks.

Practical implications: The identified ergonomic risks provide valuable insights for developing targeted intervention strategies. These strategies may include implementing ergonomic training programs for workers, providing appropriate material handling equipment, redesigning work processes, or introducing regular rotation of tasks to reduce repetitive strain. Addressing these risks can significantly reduce WMSDs, leading to fewer injuries, lower healthcare costs, improved worker morale, and increased productivity for logistics companies.

Originality/value: This research contributes to the growing body of knowledge on safety logistics by specifically addressing ergonomic risks in manual handling tasks within the Thai gas delivery sector. By utilizing the RULA tool, it offers a practical and immediate method for identifying specific high-risk postures. The findings provide a strong foundation for developing evidence-based interventions to improve occupational health and safety standards in this physically demanding industry.

Keywords: Safety Logistics, Ergonomics, Manual Handling, RULA, Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders

1. Introduction

Contemporary logistics research predominantly focuses on enhancing operational efficiency and reducing costs. Key areas include supply chain management, risk-resilient logistics, and the integration of technologies such as automation, robotics, blockchain, and artificial intelligence. Specialized logistics domains—such as green logistics, e-commerce logistics, humanitarian logistics, and cold chain logistics—also receive considerable attention.

However, a crucial aspect often overlooked is **occupational safety**, particularly in labor-intensive logistics tasks. These tasks pose significant risks for long-term health issues, including **Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WMSDs)**, and may even result in severe injuries or fatalities. Neglecting safety can adversely affect productivity, increase absenteeism, and lead to high medical and compensation costs, ultimately undermining organizational sustainability.

This study focuses on evaluating **ergonomic risks** in manual logistics operations in Thailand, aiming to enhance worker safety and health.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Manual Labor in Logistics and Safety Concerns

Manual handling tasks in logistics are associated with high health and safety risks. According to the International Labor Organization (ILO), manual labor is a leading cause of workplace injuries, especially in industries such as construction and logistics. Common incidents include falls, collisions, and injuries from lifting heavy objects. These accidents result in significant financial losses due to medical expenses, compensation, and lost productivity.

2.2 Impact of Manual Work on Musculoskeletal Health

WMSDs encompass injuries to muscles, tendons, bones, and joints caused by repetitive tasks, awkward postures, heavy lifting, and vibrations. In logistics, workers frequently face these risks, such as lifting heavy gas cylinders or transporting parcels in unnatural postures. Common symptoms include lower back pain, carpal tunnel syndrome, tendonitis, and neck or shoulder pain.

2.3 Risk Reduction Strategies

Risk mitigation follows the **Hierarchy of Controls**:

- **Elimination:** Avoiding hazardous tasks by using machinery.
- **Substitution:** Replacing heavy materials with lighter alternatives.
- **Engineering Controls:** Redesigning workspaces or using equipment like conveyors or adjustable carts.
- **Administrative Controls:** Job rotation and scheduled breaks.
- **Personal Protective Equipment (PPE):** Items like back support belts and safety shoes—used as a last resort.

2.4 Ergonomic Risk Assessment Tools

Ergonomic risks can be assessed through observational and physiological methods:

- **Heart Rate Monitoring:** Indicates workload and fatigue.
- **Body Discomfort Surveys:** Identifies pain and discomfort areas.
- **Motion Analysis:** Tracks movement angles, speed, and force.
- **Posture Analysis Tools:** Includes RULA, REBA, and OWAS.
- **Electromyography (EMG):** Measures muscle activity.
- **Oxygen Consumption:** Assesses overall physiological workload.

Assessment Type	Tools	Purpose
Observational	RULA, REBA, OWAS	Posture-related WMSD risks
Physiological	Heart Rate Monitor, Oxygen Consumption	Physical workload and fatigue
Biomechanical	Motion Analyzer, EMG	Force and muscle activity
Subjective	Body Discomfort Questionnaire	Pain and discomfort levels

2.5 Rapid Assessment Tools

Rapid ergonomic assessment tools are widely used due to their efficiency and simplicity. These tools evaluate working posture and assign risk scores:

- **RULA:** Focuses on upper limbs.
- **REBA:** Assesses the entire body.
- **OWAS:** Uses coding for posture analysis.

REBA (Rapid Entire Body Assessment) REBA assessment is performed by dividing the analysis into two main groups:

- **Group A:** Analyzes the posture of the **neck, trunk, and legs**.
- **Group B:** Analyzes the posture of the **arms and wrists**.

The scores from each group are combined with scores for

Force/Load and Coupling to get a final score. The final score indicates different risk levels:

- Score 1: Negligible risk, acceptable.
- Score 2-3: Low risk, needs monitoring and improvement.
- Score 4-7: Medium risk, should be improved.
- Score 8-10: High risk, requires immediate improvement.
- Score 11 or more: Very high risk, requires immediate action.

REBA Employee Assessment Worksheet

Task Name: _____
 Date: _____

A. Neck, Trunk and Leg Analysis

Step 1: Locate Neck Position
 10-20° +1, 20° +2, in extension +2
 Neck Score:

Step 1a: Adjust...
 If neck is twisted: +1
 If neck is side bending: +1

Step 2: Locate Trunk Position
 0° +1, 0-20° +2, 20-60° +3, 60°+ +4
 Trunk Score:

Step 2a: Adjust...
 If trunk is twisted: +1
 If trunk is side bending: +1

Step 3: Legs
 30-60° +1, >60° +2
 Leg Score:

Step 4: Look-up Posture Score in Table A
 Using values from steps 1-3 above, Locate score in Table A
 Posture Score A:

Step 5: Add Force/Load Score
 If load < 11 lbs.: +0
 If load 11 to 22 lbs.: +1
 If load > 22 lbs.: +2
 Adjust: If shock or rapid build up of force: add +1
 Force / Load Score:

Step 6: Score A, Find Row in Table C
 Add values from steps 4 & 5 to obtain Score A.
 Find Row in Table C.
 Score A:

Scoring
 1 = Negligible Risk
 2-3 = Low Risk. Change may be needed.
 4-7 = Medium Risk. Further Investigate. Change Soon.
 8-10 = High Risk. Investigate and Implement Change
 11+ = Very High Risk. Implement Change

Scores

		Neck											
		1				2				3			
Legs	Score	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Trunk	Score	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	3	3	5	6
Posture	Score	3	2	4	5	6	4	5	6	7	5	6	7
Score	Score	4	3	5	6	7	5	6	7	8	6	7	8
	Score	5	4	6	7	8	6	7	8	9	7	8	9

		Lower Arm					
		1			2		
Wrist	Score	1	2	3	1	2	3
Upper Arm	Score	1	1	2	2	1	2
Score	Score	2	1	2	3	2	3
	Score	3	3	4	5	4	5
	Score	4	4	5	5	5	6
	Score	5	6	7	8	7	8
	Score	6	7	8	8	9	9

Score A	Score B											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	1	1	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	7	7	7
2	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	6	6	7	7	8
3	2	3	3	3	4	5	6	7	7	8	8	8
4	3	4	4	4	5	6	7	8	8	9	9	9
5	4	4	4	5	6	7	8	8	9	9	9	9
6	6	6	6	7	8	8	8	9	9	10	10	10
7	7	7	7	8	9	9	9	10	10	11	11	11
8	8	8	8	9	10	10	10	10	10	11	11	11
9	9	9	9	10	10	10	11	11	11	12	12	12
10	10	10	10	11	11	11	11	12	12	12	12	12
11	11	11	11	11	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12

<input type="text"/>	+	<input type="text"/>	=	<input type="text"/>
Table C Score		Activity Score		REBA Score

B. Arm and Wrist Analysis

Step 7: Locate Upper Arm Position:
 in extension +1, 20° +2, 20-45° +2, 45-90° +3, 90°+ +4
 Upper Arm Score:

Step 7a: Adjust...
 If shoulder is raised: +1
 If upper arm is abducted: +1
 If arm is supported or person is leaning: -1

Step 8: Locate Lower Arm Position:
 0-120° +1, 120-180° +2
 Lower Arm Score:

Step 9: Locate Wrist Position:
 15° +1, 15°-15° +2
 Wrist Score:

Step 9a: Adjust...
 If wrist is bent from midline or twisted: Add +1

Step 10: Look-up Posture Score in Table B
 Using values from steps 7-9 above, locate score in Table B
 Posture Score B:

Step 11: Add Coupling Score
 Well fitting Handle and mid rang power grip, **good: +0**
 Acceptable but not ideal hand hold or coupling acceptable with another body part, **fair: +1**
 Hand hold not acceptable but possible, **poor: +2**
 No handles, awkward, unsafe with any body part, **Unacceptable: +3**
 Coupling Score:

Step 12: Score B, Find Column in Table C
 Add values from steps 10 & 11 to obtain Score B. Find column in Table C and match with Score A in row from step 6 to obtain Table C Score.
 Score B:

Step 13: Activity Score
 +1 1 or more body parts are held for longer than 1 minute (static)
 +1 Repeated small range actions (more than 4x per minute)
 +1 Action causes rapid large range changes in postures or unstable base

Original Worksheet Developed by Dr. Alan Hedge. Based on Technical note: Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA), Hignett, McAtamney, Applied Ergonomics 31 (2000) 201-205

Reba worksheet

3. Methodology

This observational study evaluates ergonomic risks among workers at a Thai LPG distribution company. The focus is on **manual material handling** tasks from receiving customer orders to delivering gas cylinders.

3.1 Sample and Scope

192

The study targets workers handling 15-kg gas cylinders which is the most frequently transported size. These tasks involve lifting, dragging, and rolling cylinders manually.

3.2 Assessment Tool







The **REBA** tool was selected for its speed and comprehensiveness in evaluating posture-related risks across the entire body.

3.3 Example of manual material handling in gas cylinder delivery



3.4 REBA assessment table

REBA (Rapid Entire Body Assessment) worksheet				
worker	Gas cylinder delivery	task	Carry 15 kg gas cylinder to the deliver car	
reference: Hignett and McAtamney (2000) Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA). Applied Ergonomics (31), Page 201-205				
A. Neck, Trunk and Leg Analysis		B. Arm and Wrist Analysis		
			left	right
Step 1 Neck	2	Step 7 Upper Arm	0	2
Step 2 Trunk	1	Step 8 Lower Arm / Fore Arm	0	1
Step 3 Legs	4	Step 9 Wrist	0	2

Step 4 Score from table A	4	Step 10 Score from table B	0	2
Step 5 Force/load score	2	Step 11 Coupling score	0	0
Step 6 Score A to find row in table C	6	Step 12 Score B to find column in table C	0	2
		Step 13 Score C	0	6
		Step 14 Activity score	0	+1
		Step 15 Final REBA Score	0	7
Score level from step 15	The assessed posture			
1: Neglectable risk				
2 or 3 : Low risk, change may be needed				
4 to 7 : Medium risk, further investigation, change soon				
8 to 10 : High risk, investigate and implement change				
11+ : Very high risk, implement change				

4. Findings

4.1 Overall Results

The REBA assessment for a worker lifting a 15-kg gas cylinder yielded a **Grand Score of 7**, indicating a **moderate risk level**. This suggests that the task requires improvement to reduce ergonomic risks.

4.2 Body Regions with Highest Risk

The **Group A** scores (neck, trunk, legs) were significantly higher than **Group B** (arms, wrists):

- **Neck:** Score 2
- **Legs:** Score 4
- **Group A Total:** 6
- **Group B Total:** 2

This indicates that postures involving bending knees, leaning forward, and maintaining balance contribute most to the overall risk.

5. Conclusion